Role of Gatekeepers in Social Media

Muhammad Zain Ul Noorani, Usman Shafique Satti

Abstract— Individuals have the right to see and erase their data in certain circumstances, as well as the ability to opt-out of having their data sold, thanks to gatekeeping, which emphasizes the necessity of good data management and control. Social media has changed considerably during the previous decade. Users claim that the liberties given by Instagram outweigh any possible concerns to privacy caused by their use. Despite their privacy concerns and awareness, gatekeepers continued to supply massive amounts of personally identifying information. To safeguard people's private or professionally accessible information submitted on internet platforms, gatekeeping technologies utilizing incredibly complex and inventive encryption and data security approaches were required. The information gathered here appeared to be on the point of becoming a mash-up of a variety of different sources. The information about the user, such as their phone number,

Email address, or Twitter credentials, is protected by gatekeepers and the registration process. The evidence obtained in this appeared to have been on the point of becoming a mash-up of a variety of different sources. Important information about individual, such as their phone number, email address, or Twitter credentials, is protected by gatekeepers and the registration process. Over through the previous few decades, networking has evolved significantly. Numerous challenges involving the installation of gatekeeping technology, particularly for journalist digital news networks, have yet to be resolved or properly investigated in the prior literature. Data is gathered directly by the customer, who is in charge of usernames and passwords, under the decentralized alternative. Over the previous few decades, networking has evolved significantly.

Keywords— Social media, Gatekeeping, Gatekeepers, Social media security, Cybersecurity, Journals, Media, Online theft.

————————— ◆ —————————

1. INTRODUCTION

Believed to influence of research ethics committees are regularly asked by investigators when and where researchers should obtain the gatekeeper authorization. In this research study, we'll look at a function the impact of gatekeeper's informal or corporate contexts and practical ways to better understand and facilitate this procedure [1, 2]. On Instagram, the research looks at interactions involving citizens, information sources, and other researchers. This research study's major goal is to examine the conversations of various media types who use Twitter to communicate with one another. A traditional view of gatekeeping would constrain the area of research of journalism as well as its connection with the public in an era of technological devices and online journalism [3 & 4]. Screening & filtration of various online marketing sources of information and supply of immediate access to natural pieces referenced during the article are illustrations of abstracted gatekeeping qualities.

In addition, such research activities have developed or maintained cooperative relationships that may best enhance the study process. Therefore, researchers should establish arrangements to recognize gatekeepers overtly and implicitly [5, 6, & 7]. Accessibility procedures that include the gatekeeper's and the researcher's demands but weaknesses, and therefore are considered satisfactory, can improve the efficacy of empirical information acquired. Such potentially difficult gatekeeper users should always be evaluated even during the study phase when implementing a plan [8]. Throughout the corporations, on both sides, must not have been exempt from punishment if they fail to assume the responsibility of ethical data consumption [9 & 10]. Therefore, this research study will demonstrate the overall understanding of the impacts of the gatekeeping framework for social media users' protection, safety, and ethical usage of digital media.

Throughout this section, I use previous literature to illustrate the fundamental ideas of our research questions and how this research links towards that research. To illustrate the notion of gatekeepers, I initially go through the background of your involvement throughout this research, especially innovative networking, throughout this literature review [13]. Furthermore, I discuss the current focus on proximity in gatekeepers' study and why it's so important out of a philosophical viewpoint to concentrate on cognitive closeness inside this research before moving forward with the spatial position of research questions. Secondly, throughout this research, I discuss current studies on gatekeeper categories & features and how this research connects to some of those classifications or features.

1.1 Literature Review

Gatekeepers, commonly referred to as grouped representative structures or decision-makers, plays an important role in CRT monitors. Gatekeepers are also sometimes needed to protect the aims of autonomous research respondents, groups, or companies providing the atmosphere for MRS monitoring [3 & 4].

Q1: What are the indicators of gatekeeping in social networking sites, and how can gatekeepers be identified or protected?

Ans. This difficulty in obtaining authorization from research participants has led to the use of gatekeepers. Previous research throughout this project called for a stricter identification about who constitutes a phenomenon undergoing inquiry and when and where individuals should obtain formal authorization inside an MRS. As a result, the overall number of observations in which

gatekeepers are necessary is greatly reduced [5]. One purpose of this research seems to be to engage in conversation by examining whether various sorts of journalists interact with other journalists, media organizations, and the broader population on Twitter [1, 3, & 9]. Dr Hintz gives several instances of sensible policy campaigning promoting open and free communications originating within and without the organizational institutions. Successful marketing policy engagement techniques, qualities, and situations are outlined [6 & 7]. Researchers use sociological imagination relying on patent data to compare the organization for four East Berlin regions' innovation networks. Compared to private actors, government research organizations operate as gatekeepers to a greater extent.

Furthermore, as per scholars, researchers have already created no interdisciplinary or consensual characterization of the relevant information gatekeeper. Nevertheless, in prior studies, gatekeepers have been shown as a small number of individuals that regulate the dissemination of knowledge throughout the organization [9].

Q2: What role do gatekeepers play in the protection or security of social networking sites?

Ans. Gatekeepers haven't generated that use this procedure based on a set of variables. Consumers seeking volunteer involvement in clusters are usually randomized to one of the research groups' researchers before gatekeeping comes practical. While researchers could acquire some other research elements with voluntary participation, randomized trial approval is not possible in certain circumstances [8, 10 & 11]. Moreover, to limit the risk of biasing the research's results, researchers may withhold things about modifications in plenty of other intervention and control groups from informed process, particularly when research measurements are intended to changes have resulted.

Skilled journalists would be used for promotional or gatekeeping tasks, a study gap presented in the literature. The study adds to the existing research problems by looking just at the function & effect of gatekeepers in institutional or organizational contexts and practical strategies for better comprehension & assistance of that kind of procedure [10 & 11].

Q3: How the gatekeeper controls access to information, and acts in an inhibitor capacity by limiting access of unauthorized agents?

Ans. Which information of social media is disseminated because of gatekeeping? The study looks into a deficit identified throughout order to study better and include comparative research in journalistic practice. Researchers explore significant variations between four East German innovation networks and the gatekeepers' features inside each, using network analysis centered on patent data [12]. The geographical signal is propagated to be varied in their

amount of communication and overall outwards orientation. Researchers found that dynamic capabilities are much more evenly proportioned whenever it comes to gatekeepers' qualities [13 & 14]. Gatekeeping is carried out greater by state research facilities than by private entities.

Networking may be examined on several layers, including the dyad, node, and structural thresholds. On something like a pair scale, research is focused on pairs of individuals, including the likelihood of whether different firms would be linked when they have a common knowledge and understanding, demonstrating the relevance of intellectual distances within this system [15]. The nodes daily interact with the features of the analysis units, which are the cable network actors. The size of the company, for instance, might have had a significant impact on the number of ties it has in a network [16, 19, & 21]. This structure level encompasses the whole network, considering its properties as a fully connected network of participants [17, 18 & 20].

Moreover, considering the main purposes, the research will concentrate on the architectural network perimeter. To begin, an examination of an innovation system must consider the entire organization [20].

Q4: How have professional integrated models in social media gatekeepers for individuals who hear communication from both political sides?

Ans. Including in huge networks, such as this one, the experimental technique of traffic engineering provides structural investigation. Secondly, gatekeepers could be positioned just at a systemic level inside a network with intellectual linkages that used a completely precise meaning. The gatekeepers that are discovered are next examined somewhere at the network level [21 & 22]. All regional similarities and comparable qualities among gatekeepers can be determined separately, even without preconceived notions using an 'international/global' strategy.

Furthermore, local news media practitioners always have a limited grasp and abilities in online technology, but many show a limited desire to learn more about it. Reporters and editors representing informal settlements generally depend on traditional delivery information, whereas technology tools focus on urban agglomerations [21, 22, & 23]. The digital initiatives are frequently forced: they maintain a website or a social media conglomerate, and they are also generally never established enough to generate additional cash and are thus regarded supplementary for news organizations.

Q5: Is gatekeeping concept used in social analysis to describe those who can arbitrate access to a social position?

Ans. Recent research publications have aggressively examined the influence of these parameters in locally communicative interaction on local journalism, particularly local journalists & traditional media institutions [12]. This

bias of journalism study towards mainstream news media, including media organizations, on either hand, has an impact on the literature on location-based mobile communication [24]. As a result, notwithstanding having an influential position in local news media, individuals that support hyperlocal mainstream press efforts, particularly in non-Western nations, have hardly ever become the topic of investigation.

Furthermore, numerous authors always exposed various potential digital gatekeepers to influences that influence their performance and the environments in which it operates.

Q6: How should gatekeepers be defined in terms of internal and external linkages and with respect to their position in the local system?

Ans. Integration in specific (social) networks influences gatekeepers' processes and outcomes in different types of information becoming exposed to the public [24 & 25]. As a result, the assessment here will be organized from around mentioned influences, which have been based on the traditional gatekeeping hypothesis to expansions from connectivity as well as virtual gatekeeping modelling techniques: the actual person, media workouts, organizational (which includes the SNS as well as its contextual cues), sociocultural organizational (which includes gated relationships), and socioeconomic system thresholds [25]. A prior upper approach of gatekeeping is inverted in interconnected gatekeeping, which emphasizes the proactive participation of individuals "where gatekeeping has been practised on." Non-elite, interconnected publics can control or affect the dissemination of knowledge thanks to social media platforms' social and technical capabilities [26]. Platform gatekeeping significantly influences group decision-making processes & gatekeeping procedures. A recent study highlights the expanding influence of technology gatekeeping on resource creation and delivery.

Adopting the interconnected gatekeeping paradigm, researchers incorporate audience participation, or even the gated, inside the gatekeeping procedure by proposing the set of important criteria which indicate the gate's importance to gatekeepers. The political interference in connection to a gatekeeper, whose capacity to provide knowledge [26, 27, & 28], personal connection with the gatekeeper, and viable gatekeeping solutions are among such characteristics. The gated have a strong capacity to provide relevant data: the group's proprietors believe that local news generated by participants is their primary resource of information. Despite a lack of human and financial resources for genuine journalism, location-based media attempt to involve consumers in information collecting. In this way, the gated can shape the available information towards the community [19, 20]. By getting numerous subgroups to pick among inside several SNS platforms (e.g., Twitter and Facebook), the gated have always had an opportunity to influence communication

organizations. Including in smaller communities, there seem to be usually many large sufficient city public organizations, allowing people to transition from one to the next instantly. Simultaneously moment, from outside social networking sites, there are almost always no other sources of hyperlocal media.

Non-profit organizations are typically more critical of commercial organizations and embrace contributions from various political parties. In reality, inside the heavily regulated and self-censored local news media environments [2 & 16], such organizations are the primary contributors promoting challenging political discourse and dissenting opinions towards the legislative system in general. Simultaneously while, several corporate or non-commercial location-based media conglomerates or administrators pretend to be interested in offering a platform enabling residents to debate issues [11 & 14]. Regardless of the criticism's focus (the political system or ordinary concerns), these sorts of groups act as internet hubs promoting local discourse and involvement. Services are no longer just created through newsrooms, thanks to modern technologies.

Q7: how journalists who work for different types of media interact with other journalists, news sources and the public on Twitter?

Ans. When social media becomes more widely used, anybody may now create news. Journalism is envisioned as empowering individuals in rehabilitation, caring about the surroundings, and reducing the news monopolies held by particular corporate interests [23, 27 & 29]. However, knowledge has been increasingly dispersed in recent years. Such material must be sometimes confirmed and is frequently referred to as a scam. Furthermore, citizen journalism information doesn't adhere to recognized journalistic norms or values.

According to the research study, any newsroom's news production may be directly influenced by the public. Complaints or boycott concerns may "control" news journalists, and public responses could be filtered, influencing the news [29]. However, the audience would also have an inverse influence, including when journalists have geared to deliver whatever they believe the public wants, although this assessment might not have been accurate. That audience inclination seems to be a good illustration of commonly performed impact [29 & 30]. Conventional audience systems engineering - that seems to be, data collection and feedback processes being used by news industry as well as advertising companies not just to quantify audience access to certain types of subject matter but also to anticipate content preferences as well as patterns of consumption [12], intended concentration to particular audience sections, and collect information regarding audience response but instead behavioural tendencies - have faced significant difficulties as a result of this transition in separation and independence.

Additionally, due to the obvious availability of social media, gatekeepers inside the internet media journalism are indeed impacted because of what is going on there. Every communication message, which would face increased competition, requires audience members. As a result, business newsrooms can't resist reacting to digital marketing trends [8, 9, & 21]. The audiences seem to be more prone to participate if they reply quickly to what has been occurring on social networking sites. Throughout the response, whether they are sluggish to react to online social media, the mainstream press would receive a lower level of viewership [11 & 15]. Online efforts are usually compelled: they manage a webpage or a social media aggregation because they are seldom developed enough to create increased income; therefore, they are viewed as supplemental for media groups [19]. The impact of such characteristics in regional communicative engagement on local journalists, especially local journalists and conventional news organizations, has been actively investigated in recent studies. On the one hand, this bias in journalism research towards mainstream media, especially media organizations, mostly influences the literature on place communication devices. Consequently, despite holding positions of authority in regional news organizations, people who support hyperlocal national media operations, especially in non-countries, are seldom investigated.

Today, the gatekeeper of internet media, whoever chooses the information, is undergoing a metamorphosis to stay up with technological advancements. Despite this, the crucial gatekeeper fight is still expected because, like the avalanche in material edited through Gatekeeper internet media, which plays a major role in influencing popular perception forming [16 & 17]. Competitive demand constraints, on either hand, have been generated by data analyses in digital news journalism. The above raises a new dilemma inside the debate over how users might participate in associated press development [19]. Recent research specifically examined the constraints on community participation in local journalism, including when citizen contributors became permitted to report less important news. In contrast, professional journalists have only been forced to investigate highly severe news. This article focuses on a different group of users or community involvement problem posed with hyperlocal communications and the social hierarchies they have created.

Furthermore, revealed by the social analytical framework, the unavailability of participative management about how such organizations must operate and if they have social responsibilities impacts existing relationships with local administrations. They are frequently framed as just another form of a media outlet by the other [29]. As a result, local governments use the usual tactics of directly and indirectly management or coercion to create relationships with the group owners. In terms of financial resources or relationships with local politicians, urban organizations are more likely to somehow get nearer to

heritage media as time, expansion, and commercialization pass [30]. Notwithstanding many professionals' unwillingness to allow the public to control the narrative, it must have been clear during the evaluation period that this has been occurring to a somewhat level just on real case sites. Both reporter and online staff became informed of which articles were most popular based on the number of page views or responses.

Additionally, projects that excelled with one or both of those categories were more likely to be implemented towards sequels. Respondents frequently stated the articles and comments were useful for determining which publications appeared successful or assessing public opinion on a topic, which would, in turn, increase a solid foundation for additional stories [27 & 30]. For authors, another of the benefits of audience engagement was the ability to get immediate response and create adopted material with a built-in following. The paper investigated the gatekeeping effect of relevant conversations upon digital news consumption using a naturalistic experimental research design based on an exogenous disaster [20]. Researchers can make a legitimate causation statement about the consequences of major topics using the spontaneous experimental technique.

Researchers propose that digital gatekeeping authority operates on many levels: current themes change the overall allocation of interaction across outlets and distribute interaction in each source's news headlines. Those various components that researchers refer to as inter and cross gatekeeping seem intertwined and then present a complex picture of how the whole digital entity functions as a gatekeeper [24 & 30]. These findings reveal that digital gatekeepers will do more than create or diminish inequality among players. After something like the article, researchers address the ramifications of the observations.

Q8: What is the Role and influence of gatekeepers in formal and organizational settings?

Ans. Researchers had also begun to investigate the gatekeeping functions of contemporary rights holders, including such digital local news site writers and digital news consumers [20 & 23]. The trend demonstrates that social news organizations and journalists may not have a monopoly on data and independent analysis. Furthermore, rather than concentrating on how journalists select and bundle newspaper articles, recent studies have found several novel gatekeeping processes, including structuring news transmission and assigning national attention to stories [18, 19, 20]. This phrase "two-step gatekeeping" was created by several scholars to describe the participation of media users in collaborating to determine overall exposure of media articles, claiming that the dissemination of a news item is determined both by initial executive content plus users' subsequent judgement.

In this tabular (**TABLE 1**) analysis, researchers analyzed different contextual framework based on descriptions,

methods, implementations and also what kind of recommendations more required in each research questions that will be utilized for further future works or usage. Moreover, researchers also noted that when gatekeeping becomes practicable, consumers wanting voluntary engagement in clusters are generally randomized to one of the study organizations' researchers.

TABLE 1

References	Description	Implementation	Method	Recommendations
[1]	Twitter interacting functions in news organization's tweet	Twitter and news gatekeeping Promotion of news organization News gatekeeping on twitter with its interactive functions	Lack of organizations/ Promotion of news organizations' content	Use Individual journalists for promotion or gatekeeping functions Increase the number of organizations
[2]	Users communicational power Social Suite	Gatekeeping beyond geographical borders Transnational network of news on journalism culture	Well- constructed and well analyzed research	Improve the research and include comparative projects in journalism culture
[3]	Gatekeeping, gate watching and storify in social media curation	Content curation using Social media Gate watching and social media curation	Only one breaking news vector used/ well researched approach opening new insights for journalists	Improve the technologies to fit with and understand social media user practices Integration of social media curation in more news rooms
[4]	Gatekeeping in four regional networks using patent data	Gatekeeping in regional innovation networks Social Network analysis and gatekeeping	Lack of proper adequate research	Discover the role of gatekeepers in regional innovation networks
[5]	Interaction of journalists with , new sources, citizens and other journalists	Gatekeeping &social interaction of journalists on Twitter Twitter practices by journalists	Well organized research	Improve journalist practices with new sources

[6]	Twitter networks of political communication	New gatekeepers and fake news replacement Gatekeeping & Twitter	Lack of proper research and analysis	The improvement is highly needed in research and network analysis.
[7]	Social Institutions	Role of gatekeepers in research Gatekeeping & organizational settings in social science research	Well research approach	More research is needed to study role of gatekeepers in institutional research
[8]	Technical, social, and political responses in different environments	Challenging the gatekeepers in international networks Digital gatekeeping & open communication environments	Well organized data	Improve the further research and framework analysis

TABLE 2

	Empirical Study					
References	Literature Review	Questionnaire Survey	Interviews	Challenges	Success Factors	Conceptual Model/Framework
[1]	✓		✓		✓	
[2]	✓			✓	✓	✓
[3]	✓	✓			✓	✓
[4]	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
[5]	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
[6]	✓		✓	✓		✓
[7]	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
[8]	✓	✓		✓		✓

Research Synthesis

In this (**TABLE 2**) it can be analyzed that how the literature researches effectively synthesize and what approached they have been used in their research literatures. Including such questionnaire survey, interviews, challenges, success factors and also what types of conceptual model and framework mainly used.

Conclusion:

To conclude, gatekeepers give digital assistance as a shield throughout the online ecosystem, because the security of data and its distribution is also a problem while using social networking sites. Depending on the sort of information required, the level of privacy concern varies. Consumers are more anxious about requests for healthcare notes, credit card details, or questions about spending patterns, according to research, than requests for far less personal material. According to the study, when the people replies to the required information are large, users' personal information and behavioral intentions are changed. Due to a lack of understanding of the concept, some research focused solely on the issue of user lockout Rather than gatekeeping. The user can be registered in many Instagram accounts while communicating with others, according to the literature, which isolates preserved social data from functionality. The researcher might choose to work in a centralized or distributed environment. The data submitted by the user, such as the telephone number, email address, or Twitter passwords, is protected by gatekeepers, as well as during registration process. Directly either by the user, who is already in control of the password.

Security, under the decentralized option. Even during preceding decade, social media has evolved dramatically. As per users, the benefits provided by Instagram surpassed any potential risks to their privacy posed by their use. Despite their fears and understanding about confidentiality, consumers continued to provide vast volumes of personal information.

References

[1] Al-Rawi, A. (2019). Gatekeeping fake news discourses on mainstream media versus social media. *Social Science Computer Review*, *37*(6), 687-704.

[2] Braun, T., & Dióspatonyi, I. (2005). Counting the gatekeepers of international scientific journals is a useful science indicator. *Current Science*, *89*(9), 1548-1551.

[3] Bello, A. O., & Ajibola, O. A. (2020). User Generated Content and the Gate-keeping process in the Digital Age: A study of Digital Media Users and Broadcast leading news forum. *Media and Communication*, *6*(4), 58-69.

[4] Chin-Fook, L., & Simmonds, H. (2011). Redefining gatekeeping theory for a digital generation. *The McMaster Journal of Communication*, *8*.

[5] Dias, P., Brito, R., Ribbens, W., Daniela, L., Rubene, Z., Dreier, M., ... & Chaudron, S. (2016). The role of parents in the engagement of young children with digital technologies: Exploring tensions between rights of access and protection, from 'Gatekeepers' to 'Scaffolders'. *Global Studies of Childhood*, *6*(4), 414-427.

[6] Ferreira, G. B. (2018). Gatekeeping Changes in the New Media Age: The Internet, Values and Practices of Journalism. *Brazilian Journalism Research*, *14*(2), 486.

[7] Ferrucci, P. (2019). *Making nonprofit news: Market models, influence and journalistic practice*. Routledge.

[8] Graf, H., & Henning, T. (2007). Gatekeepers in regional networks of innovators–An exploration of patent data.

[9] Grygiel, J., & Lysak, S. (2021). Police social media and Organizations in Nigeria. *Media & Communication Currents*, *4*(1), 1-19.

[10] Boberg, S., Schatto-Eckrodt, T., Frischlich, L., & Quandt, T. (2018). The moral gatekeeper? Moderation and deletion of user-generated content in a broadcast news: An investigation into the impact of police use of Facebook on journalists' gatekeeping role. *Journalism Practice*, *15*(7), 994-1011.

[11] Hussain, M. M. (2012). Journalism's digital disconnect: The growth of campaign content and entertainment gatekeepers in viral political information. *Journalism*, *13*(8), 1024-1040.

[12] Hintz, A. (2012). Challenging the digital gatekeepers: international policy initiatives for free expression. *Journal of information policy*, *2*, 128-150.

[13] Hellmueller, L. (2017). Gatekeeping beyond geographical borders: Developing an analytical model of transnational journalism cultures. *International Communication Gazette*, *79*(1), 3-25.

[14] Johannesson, M. P., & Knudsen, E. (2021). Disentangling the Influence of Recommender Attributes and News-Story Attributes: A Conjoint Experiment on Exposure and Sharing Decisions on Social Networking Sites. *Digital Journalism*, *9*(8), 1141-1161.

[15] Järvekülg, M., & Wikström, P. (2021). The emergence of promotional gatekeeping and converged local music professionals on social media. *Convergence*, 13548565211032376.

[16] Lynch, K. S. (2020). Fans as transcultural gatekeepers: The hierarchy of BTS'Anglophone Reddit fandom and the digital East-West media flow. *New media & society*, 1461444820962109.

[17] Marland, A., & Esselment, A. L. (2019). Negotiating with gatekeepers to get interviews with politicians: Qualitative research recruitment in a digital media environment. *Qualitative Research*, *19*(6), 685-702.

[18] Nakov, P., Sencar, H. T., An, J., & Kwak, H. (2021). A Survey on Predicting the Factuality and the Bias of News Media. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.12506*.

[19] Nechushtai, E., & Lewis, S. C. (2019). What kind of news gatekeepers do we want machines to be? Filter bubbles, fragmentation, and the normative dimensions of algorithmic recommendations. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *90*, 298-307.

[20] Navarro, C., Moreno, A., Molleda, J. C., Khalil, N., & Verhoeven, P. (2020). The challenge of new gatekeepers for public relations. A comparative analysis of the role of social media influencers for European and Latin American professionals. *Public Relations Review*, *46*(2), 101881.

[21] Nieborg, D. B., & Sihvonen, T. (2009). The new gatekeepers: The occupational ideology of game journalism. *Proc. of DiGRA*.

[22] Potnis, D., & Tahamtan, I. (2021). Hashtags for gatekeeping of information on social media. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*.

[23] Russell, F. M. (2019). The new gatekeepers: an Institutional-level view of Silicon Valley and the disruption of journalism. *Journalism Studies*, *20*(5), 631-648.

[24] Sánchez, I. R., Makkonen, T., & Williams, A. M. (2019). Peer review assessment of originality in tourism journals: the critical perspective of key gatekeepers. *Annals of Tourism Research*, *77*, 1-11.

[25] Speakman, B. C. (2017). *Digital Gatekeeping and Interaction on Community Media Websites: Are Outlets Selective in User-Generated Content Publication and Audience Communication?*. Ohio University.

[26] Singh, S., & Wassenaar, D. R. (2016). Contextualizing the role of the gatekeeper in social science research. *South African Journal of Bioethics and Law*, *9*(1), 42-46.

[27] Shoemaker, P. J., Vos, T. P., & Reese, S. D. (2009). Journalists as gatekeepers. In *The handbook of journalism studies* (pp. 93-107). Routledge.

[28] Vos, T. P. (2019). Journalists as Gatekeepers. In *The Handbook of Journalism Studies* (pp. 90-104). Routledge.

[29] Vermeulen, B., & Pyka, A. (2018). The role of network topology and the spatial distribution and structure of knowledge in regional innovation policy: A calibrated agent-based model study. *Computational Economics*, *52*(3), 773-808.

[30] Welbers, K., Van Atteveldt, W., Kleinnijenhuis, J., & Ruigrok, N. (2018). A Gatekeeper among Gatekeepers: News agency influence in print and online newspapers in the Netherlands. *Journalism Studies*, *19*(3), 315-333.

[31] Wettstein, M., Esser, F., Schulz, A., Wirz, D. S., & Wirth, W. (2018). News media as gatekeepers, critics, and initiators of populist communication: How journalists in ten countries deal with the populist challenge. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, *23*(4), 476-495.